On Mechanism Underlying Algorithmic Collusion^{*}

 ${\rm Zhang} \ {\rm Xu}^{\dagger} \qquad {\rm Wei} \ {\rm Zhao}^{\ddagger}$

Full Version: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2409.01147

Abstract

Two issues of algorithmic collusion are addressed in this paper. First, we show that in a general class of symmetric games, including Prisoner's Dilemma, Bertrand competition, and any (nonlinear) mixture of first and second price auction, only (strict) Nash Equilibrium (NE) is stochastically stable. Therefore, the tacit collusion is driven by failure to learn NE due to insufficient learning, instead of learning some strategies to sustain collusive outcomes. Second, we study how algorithms adapt to collusion in real simulations with insufficient learning. Extensive explorations in early stages and discount factors inflates the Q-value, which interrupts the sequential and alternative price undercut and leads to bilateral rebound. The process is iterated, making the price curves like Edgeworth cycles. When both exploration rate and Q-value decrease, algorithms may bilaterally rebound to relatively high common price level by coincidence, and then get stuck. Finally, we accommodate our reasoning to simulation outcomes in the literature, including optimistic initialization, market design and algorithm design.

Keywords: Algorithmic Collusion; Artificial Intelligence; Q-learning; Stochastic Stability; Edgeworth Cycle; Market Design.

^{*}We acknowledge Tristan Tomala, Nicolas Vieille, Marco Scarsini, Arthur Dolgopolov, Jean-Edouard Colliard, Satoru Takahashi, Michihiro Kandori, Yu Zhu and Zhiwei Cui for their helpful comments and discussions. We also acknowledge seminar and conference participants at GAIMSS, Stony Brook Game Theory Conference, Shandong University, GAMES 2024 and China Academy of Science for helpful comments. All errors are our own.

[†]School of Economics, Renmin University of China, China. *Email*: xuzhang@ruc.edu.cn [‡]School of Economics, Renmin University of China, China. *Email*: wei.zhao@outlook.fr