

SUPPLEMENT TO “TESTING FOR REGIME SWITCHING:
A COMMENT”
(*Econometrica*, Vol. 80, No. 4, July 2012, 1809–1812)

BY ANDREW V. CARTER AND DOUGLAS G. STEIGERWALD

We present proof of the inconsistency of the QMLE defined by Cho and White (2007). Inconsistency arises from the fact that the quasi-log-likelihood does not attain a maximum at the population parameter values.

S1. PROOF OF INCONSISTENCY OF A QMLE

IN THIS SUPPLEMENTAL section we prove that, for an autoregressive process, the gradient of the quasi-log-likelihood does not equal zero when evaluated at the population parameter values. Recall the mixture model for an autoregressive process

$$(S1) \quad (1 - \pi) \cdot N(\theta_1 + \alpha X_{t-1}, 1) + \pi N(\theta_2 + \alpha X_{t-1}, 1),$$

on which the quasi-likelihood is based. For $\theta_1 = \mu$ and $\theta_2 = \mu + \gamma$, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}[l_t(\pi, \alpha, \mu, \gamma)] = \mathbb{E}[l_t(\pi, \alpha, \mu, \gamma)] := M(\pi, \alpha, \mu, \gamma),$$

where

$$M(\pi, \alpha, \mu, \gamma) = \mathbb{E} \log \left[\pi \exp \left[\gamma(X_t - \alpha X_{t-1} - \mu) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \right] + (1 - \pi) \right] \\ - \frac{1}{2} [\log 2\pi + \mathbb{E}(X_t - \alpha X_{t-1} - \mu)^2].$$

Remaining variable definitions are given in the main paper.

The key is to calculate the first derivative of $M(\pi, \alpha, \mu, \gamma)$ with respect to the autoregressive coefficient α evaluated at the population values of the parameters $(\pi^*, \alpha^*, \mu^*, \gamma^*)$. To begin, let $Z_t = X_t - \alpha^* X_{t-1} - \mu^*$. The derivative for α is

$$(S2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} M(\pi, \alpha, \mu, \gamma) \Big|_{(\pi^*, \alpha^*, \mu^*, \gamma^*)} \\ = \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{-\pi^* \gamma^* X_{t-1} \exp \left[\gamma^* Z_t - \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2} \right]}{\pi^* \exp \left[\gamma^* Z_t - \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2} \right] + (1 - \pi^*)} \right) + \mathbb{E}(X_{t-1} Z_t).$$

To calculate these expectations, we must account for the correlation between Z_t and X_{t-1} . The distribution of Z_t conditional on X_{t-1} depends on this previous observation through S_{t-1} . The conditional density is

$$\begin{aligned} f(z|X_{t-1}) &= \phi(z - \gamma^*)\mathbb{P}(S_t = 2|X_{t-1}) + \phi(z)\mathbb{P}(S_t = 1|X_{t-1}) \\ &= \left[\zeta_t \exp\left[\gamma^* Z_t - \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2}\right] + (1 - \zeta_t) \right] \phi(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi(z)$ is the density of a standard Gaussian random variable and $\zeta_t := \mathbb{P}(S_t = 2|\sigma(X^{t-1}))$.

The first expectation in (S2) is

$$\begin{aligned} (S3) \quad &\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{-\pi^*\gamma^*X_{t-1}\exp\left[\gamma^*Z_t - \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2}\right]}{\pi^*\exp\left[\gamma^*Z_t - \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2}\right] + (1 - \pi^*)}\right) \\ &= -\pi^*\gamma^*\mathbb{E}\left[X_{t-1} \int \exp\left[\gamma^*z - \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2}\right]\right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left(\frac{\zeta_t \exp\left[\gamma^*z - \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2}\right] + (1 - \zeta_t)}{\pi^*\exp\left[\gamma^*z - \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2}\right] + (1 - \pi^*)} \right) \phi(z) dz \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Because $\exp[\gamma^*z - \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2}]\phi(z) = \phi(z - \gamma^*)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \exp\left[\gamma^*z - \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2}\right] \left(\frac{\zeta_t \exp\left[\gamma^*z - \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2}\right] + (1 - \zeta_t)}{\pi^*\exp\left[\gamma^*z - \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2}\right] + (1 - \pi^*)} \right) \phi(z) dz \\ &= \int \left(\frac{\zeta_t \exp\left[\gamma^*z - \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2}\right] + (1 - \zeta_t)}{\pi^*\exp\left[\gamma^*z - \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2}\right] + (1 - \pi^*)} \right) \phi(z - \gamma^*) dz \\ &= \int \left(\frac{\zeta_t \exp\left[\gamma^*v + \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2}\right] + (1 - \zeta_t)}{\pi^*\exp\left[\gamma^*v + \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2}\right] + (1 - \pi^*)} \right) \phi(v) dv, \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that this integral is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \left(\frac{\zeta_t \exp \left[\gamma^* v + \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2} \right] + (1 - \zeta_t)}{\pi^* \exp \left[\gamma^* v + \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2} \right] + (1 - \pi^*)} \right) \phi(v) dv \\
&= \frac{\zeta_t}{\pi^*} + \int \left[\left(\zeta_t \pi^* \exp \left[\gamma^* v + \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2} \right] + (1 - \zeta_t) \pi^* \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \left(\zeta_t \pi^* \exp \left[\gamma^* v + \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2} \right] + \zeta_t (1 - \pi^*) \right) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. / \left(\pi^* \left[\pi^* \exp \left[\gamma^* v + \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2} \right] + (1 - \pi^*) \right] \right) \right] \phi(v) dv \\
&= \frac{\zeta_t}{\pi^*} + \frac{\pi^* - \zeta_t}{\pi^*} \int \left[\pi^* \exp \left[\gamma^* v + \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2} \right] + (1 - \pi^*) \right]^{-1} \phi(v) dv.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, substituting this expression back into (S3),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} M(\pi, \alpha, \mu, \gamma) \Big|_{(\pi^*, \alpha^*, \mu^*, \gamma^*)} \\
&= -\gamma^* \mathbb{E}(X_{t-1} \zeta_t) + \gamma^* \mathbb{E}[X_{t-1}(\zeta_t - \pi^*)] C_{\pi^*, \gamma^*} + \mathbb{E}(X_{t-1} Z_t),
\end{aligned}$$

where C_{π^*, γ^*} is the expectation

$$C_{\pi^*, \gamma^*} := \mathbb{E} \left[\pi^* \exp \left[\gamma^* \xi + \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2} \right] + (1 - \pi^*) \right]^{-1}$$

for ξ a standard Gaussian random variable. Clearly, C_{π^*, γ^*} does not depend on X_{t-1} or S_t and is a bounded positive quantity: $0 < C_{\pi^*, \gamma^*} \leq (1 - \pi^*)^{-1}$.

Furthermore, we can use that $\mathbb{E}(Z_t | X_{t-1}) = \gamma^* \mathbb{P}(S_t = 2 | X_{t-1}) = \gamma^* \zeta_t$ to simplify the expression

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} M(\pi, \alpha, \mu, \gamma) \Big|_{(\pi^*, \alpha^*, \mu^*, \gamma^*)} = \gamma^* C_{\pi^*, \gamma^*} \mathbb{E}[X_{t-1}(\zeta_t - \pi^*)].$$

The last factor in this expression is

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{t-1}(\zeta_t - \pi^*)] = \mathbb{E}(X_{t-1} \zeta_t) - \mathbb{E} X_{t-1} \mathbb{E} S_t = \text{Cov}(X_{t-1}, S_t).$$

The last equality follows from $\mathbb{E}(X_{t-1} S_t) = \mathbb{E}(X_{t-1} \mathbb{E}(S_t | X_{t-1}))$ and $\mathbb{E}(S_t | X_{t-1}) = \zeta_t$.

To obtain an expression for the covariance of X_{t-1} and S_t , we use the following lemma.

LEMMA A: *Under model (S1)*

$$\text{Cov}(X_{t-1}, S_t) = \gamma(1 - \pi)(\pi - p_{12}) \left(\frac{\pi}{\pi - \alpha(\pi - p_{12})} \right).$$

Therefore, the expression for the partial derivative of the M function with respect to α becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} M(\pi, \alpha, \mu, \gamma) = \gamma^2 C_{\pi, \gamma} (\pi - p_{12}) \left(\frac{\pi(1 - \pi)}{\pi - \alpha(\pi - p_{12})} \right).$$

PROOF OF LEMMA A: We first use the recursive expression

$$X_t = \mu + \alpha X_{t-1} + \gamma S_t + \xi_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha^k (\mu + \gamma S_{t-k} + \xi_{t-k}),$$

where $\xi_t \sim \text{i.i.d. } N(0, 1)$. This implies that the covariance is

$$\text{Cov}(X_{t-1}, S_t) = \gamma \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha^k \text{Cov}(S_{t-1-k}, S_t).$$

The covariance of the binary state variables is

$$\text{Cov}(S_{t-k}, S_t) = \mathbb{P}(S_t = 2 | S_{t-k} = 2) \pi - \pi^2,$$

where $\pi = \mathbb{P}(S_t = 2) = \mathbb{P}(S_{t-k} = 2)$ is the stationary probability in the Markov chain. It can be shown that the conditional probability is

$$\mathbb{P}(S_t = 2 | S_{t-k} = 2) = \pi + (1 - \pi) \left(\frac{\pi - p_{12}}{\pi} \right)^k.$$

Thus

$$\text{Cov}(S_{t-k}, S_t) = \pi(1 - \pi) \left(\frac{\pi - p_{12}}{\pi} \right)^k,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(X_{t-1}, S_t) &= \gamma \pi(1 - \pi) \left(\frac{\pi - p_{12}}{\pi} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha^k \left(\frac{\pi - p_{12}}{\pi} \right)^k \\ &= \gamma(1 - \pi)(\pi - p_{12}) \left(\frac{\pi}{\pi - \alpha(\pi - p_{12})} \right). \end{aligned} \quad Q.E.D.$$

REFERENCE

CHO, J., AND H. WHITE (2007): "Testing for Regime-Switching," *Econometrica*, 75, 1671–1720.
[1]

*Dept. of Statistics, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara,
CA 93106, U.S.A.;* carter@pstat.ucsb.edu

and

*Dept. of Economics, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara,
CA 93106, U.S.A.;* doug@econ.ucsb.edu.

Manuscript received October, 2010; final revision received November, 2011.